

Bifacial Commercial Installations with Improved Bifacial Gains

Presented by Tyler Stewart <u>t.stewart@prismsolar.com</u>



Outline

- Who is Prism Solar Technologies?
- Quick optimization method
- What is the issue facing the optimization of bifacial systems?
- Bifacial Commercial White Roofs
- Bifacial Carport Systems
- Conclusions

Prism Solar Technologies Inc.

- Prism Solar Technologies was founded in 2005.
- Researching bifacial and holographic/bifacial technology and applications since 2006 (Hitachi cells); six awarded patents in bifacial technology and applications.
- Commercially selling bifacial modules since 2012.



Design Optimization With Model

BGE'(%) = $(0.317/\text{deg}^*(\theta)+12.145/\text{m}^*(h)$ +0.1414/%*(α)) * (BR'[%]/95%) *(Azimuth Correction Factor(%)) * (Inter-row Correction Factor(%)) * (1- η (%))

- $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ = Module Tilt Angle (deg)
- h = Module Minimum Height/Elevation (m)
- α = Ground Albedo/Reflectance
- BR' = Bifacial Ratio, or Bifaciality of the Module
- η = Rear Obstruction Factor (%)

Total Bifacial System Energy Yield [%] = Monofacial*(BGE'(%) + 100%)

A good match has been found between the Prism Solar annual best-fit model and the annual results with PVSyst 6.70 for the high bifacial gains regions of interest of this presentation.

Use your preferred model to estimate bifacial gains for your design bifiPV Workshop - Septemb

Azimuth Correction Factor = 0.0000822*(φ^2) - 0.0005129*(φ) + 0.9988

 ϕ = Azimuth deviation from south in northern latitude (deg.)



Inter-row Correction Factor = (-0.0467*(t) + 1.42)*100% ; max=100%, min=86%

t = Time used for Dec 21st Shadow Length Calculations for inter-row spacing determination; between 9am and 12pm.

<u>Prism Solar Model Reference: J</u>. E. Castillo-Aguilella and P. S. Hauser, "Bifacial photovoltaic module best-fit annual energy yield model with azimuthal correction," 2016 IEEE 43rd Photovoltaic Specialists Conference (PVSC), Portland, OR, 2016, pp. 3109-3112. doi: 10.1109/PVSC.2016.7750238



Optimizing the Bifacial Gain: Issue

Maximizing the bifacial gain beyond certain values can significantly increase the cost of the bifacial system

Bifacial gains reach saturation points at certain heights and tilts. This is especially true in area constrained installation sites.

Maximum bifacial gains lead to cost increases that are not compensated by the peak achievable bifacial gain. Law of diminishing returns



Examples of Bifacial Installations in Literature

Location (Type)	Elevation /	Albedo / Bifaciality	Tilt Angle / Facing	Reported Bifacial	Calculated	Difference
and a second	Module Height			Gain (%)	Bifacial Gain (%)	(%)
	(m)			20.877 (2)	62 (25.5)	387.7 25
Cairo (Sim.)	1/0.93	0.2 / 0.8	26° / South	11.0	11.1	-0.1
[11]		and a set	11766333			
Cairo (Sim.)	1 / 0.93	0.5 / 0.8	22° / South	24.8	25	-0.2
[11]	· · · · ·					
Oslo (Sim.) [11]	0.5 / 0.93	0.2 / 0.8	51º / South	10.4	13.6	-3.2
Oslo (Sim.) [11]	0.5 / 0.93	0.2 / 0.8	47º / South	16.4	22.8	-6.4
Hokkaido*	0.5 / 1.66	0.2 / 0.95	35° / South	23.3	25.7	-2.4
(Exp.) [46]						
Hokkaido*	0.5 / 1.66	0.5 / 0.95	35º / South	8.6	13	-4.4
(Exp.) [46]						
Albuquerque	1.08 / 0.984	0.55 / 0.9	15° / South	32.5**	30.2	2.3
(Exp.) [16]					-	
Albuquerque	1.08 / 0.984	0.55 / 0.9	15° / West	39**	36.7	2.3
(Exp.) [16]						
Albuquerque	1.03 / 0.984	0.25 / 0.9	30° / South	19**	14.6	4.4
(Exp.) [16]						
Albuquerque***	0.89 / 0.984	0.25 / 0.9	90° / South	30.5**	32.2	-1.6
(Exp.) [16]						
Golden (Exp.) ****	1.02 / 1.02	0.2 / 0.6	30° / South	8.3	8.6	-0.3

* Only data from May to August were used to eliminate snowing effects.

** Average bifacial gain of multiple test modules was used.

*** The east-west-facing vertical modules measurement in [16] shows great discrepancy between two modules; therefor, it is not included here.

**** Bifacial measurement (12/2016 to 08/2017) performed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

Table Source: Sun, Xingshu, Khan, Mohammad Ryyan, Deline, Chris, and Alam, Muhammad Ashraful. Optimization and performance of bifacial solarmodules: A global perspective. United States: N. p., 2018. Web. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.12.041.



Bifacial Commercial White Roof

Commercial Rooftop And Bifacial Modules:

- Higher albedo
- Higher tilt acceptable
- Increased module height (advantage in snowy regions)
- Optimizers/Microinverter^[*] (Other building code benefits)

Detrimental System Consequences:

- Area constrained, lower system GCR due to higher tilt
- Lack of wind deflector & at the higher module tilt and heights, racking costs can become prohibitive.
- Optimizing the bifacial gain leads to less modules installed

[*]: Riley, D. et al. 2018 Performance of Bifacial PV Modules with MLPE vs. String Inverters, WCPEC-7, Waikoloa, HI.



Bifacial Optimized Racking Examples





White Roofs Bifacial Recommendations

- Min. Tilt Angle = 10 degrees; Max. Tilt Angle = 30 degrees
- Min. Module Elevation = 0.3m; Max. Module Elevation = 0.5m, or 0.6m for snow regions
- Min. Initial Roof Albedo = 75% (Albedo decreases with time)
- Min. Inter-row spacing = 11am
- Min. recommended rear Obstruction Factor = 3%
- Max. recommended rear Obstruction Factor = 8%

Using all these values at the worst condition, and using the Prism Solar bifacial model, this still yields a ~14% Bifacial Gain with a 90% Bifaciality module.

The bifacial gain would be directly reduced if the module bifaciality is reduced.







	Component	Model	Rating (W)	QTY	Specifications/Manufacturer's Website:													
	Module	Bi60-368BSTC	290 W DC	532	http://www.prismsolar.com/pdf/bi60specs.pdf													
	Optimizer	P730	730 W DC	266	<u>http://www.solaredge.com/sites/default/files/se-P5-series-</u> commercial-add-on-power-optimizer-datasheet-na.pdf								<u>'S-</u>					
	Inverter	SE33.3KUS	33,300 W AC	5	http://www.solaredge.com/sites/default/files/se-thr inverter-datasheet.pdf									<u>three</u>	<u>e-phase-us-</u>			
	Racking	Sunrail Bifacial	-	-	<u>h</u>	http://opsun.com/mounting-solutions/flat-roof/bifacial-pv- racking/								<u>v-</u>				
							Syst	em a	and /	Avera	ige M	lodul	e Pro	oduct	ion			
Clie	Client supplied data system:					175000			 (I	nvert	ter Li	mited	1) 🌈	7		320		
Based on the modules and inverters, the system has a DC/ AC ratio of <u>0.927</u>				DC/	150000			<u> </u>		min Sys	stem	_/_			280			
				•	5 125000				Pr	oductio	n (W)				- 260 - 240			
						5 125000				15	min AV	G System	_			220		
Inter-row spacing 11am, Albedo of +75%, system tilt of 30					30	¹ 100000		_		Pr	oductio	n/modu	ile			180		
degrees, Azimuth = 10 degrees from south, and Rear Obstruction Factor of ~5%.					2 75000		_		()	/)					- 160 - 140			
					E E										120			
						75000 yste										80		
Once the optimizers capped, the modules were producing				ing	25000		_							$\left\{ - \right\}$	- 60 - 40			
12.7% to 21.45% over STC.				0										- 20 - 0				
						•	3/2	3/2	3/2	3/2	3/2:	3/2	3/2:	3/2	3/2	•		
Using the Prism Solar Model + PVSyst for the front yields a yearly output of 1633.5 kWh _{ac} /kW _{dc} . The PVSyst bifacial				ds a		2/20	2/20	2/20	2/20	3/20	3/20	3/20	3/20	3/20				
				al		17 6:	17 10	17 15	17 20	17 1:	17 6:	17 1(17 1:	17 2(
model V6.70 yields 1657 kWh _{ac} /kW _{dc} .					8):48	5:36):24	12	8):48	5:36):24					

bifiPV Workshop – September 10th, 2018 : Denver, Colorado



Prism Solar







The median annual production, using a 12-month averaging window is 1633.9 kWh_{ac}/kW_{dc}. Median PR=1.025



Commercial Rooftop



- Bifacial rooftop and carport system in Quebec, Canada.
- 30 Degree module tilt
- Elevation = 0.42m
- Estimated Bifacial Gain = +20%
- Owner data since July 2018







Bifacial Carports

Carport And Bifacial Modules:

- Higher carport module/system height
- East/West Configurations
- Usually not GCR constrained
- Aligning the modules long edges with the structure purlins, reduces the Rear Obstruction Factor.

Detrimental System Consequences :

- Racking costs increase with carport height and tilt angles over +10 degrees
- Ground Reflectance/Albedo in most cases between 8% (Fresh Asphalt)
 -20%, rarely exceeds 40%.
- Increasing the height or tilt angle excessively, can reduce the carport shading effect for the vehicles under the structure.



Bifacial Carports Recommendations

- Min. Tilt Angle = 7 degrees; Max. Tilt Angle = 20 degrees
- Min. Module Elevation Ratio = 0.3
- Max. Module Elevation Ratio = 0.6
- Max. recommended rear Obstruction Factor = 10%

Using all these values at the minimum/worst condition, Albedo=10%, and using the Prism Solar bifacial model, this still yields an ~6% Bifacial Gain with a 90% Bifaciality module. This increases to ~10% for an East/West installation .

The bifacial gain would be directly reduced if the module bifaciality is reduced.



Bifacial Carport Example



Carport system designed in such a manner to minimize the rear obstruction factor, module aligned with purlins, and the major structure elements are placed in the places where modules meet.



Bifacial Carport Example





- Elevation Ratio= ~0.5
- +600kW Bifacial carport system in Quebec, Canada.
- Mostly E/W aligned
- Data since July 2018



Bifacial Carport–Tucson AZ (2 Year data)



9.72kW (36 modules) DC/AC ratio = 1.28 (caps May-Aug) Bifacial Ratio = 90% Azimuth = East Facing Height Ratio = 0.5 Tilt = 7 degrees Albedo/SR = 40% Estimated Bifacial Gain : 21.4% Owner provided data

The average measured annual energy production for the 2 year period was 1817.9 kWh_{ac}/kW_{dc}

The averaged Gain measured for the 2 year period was 19.6%, just under the predicted 21.4%.







- Significant bifacial gain's are obtainable in various real world applications
- Maximizing BGE generally does not make financial sense
- Financial optimization is the key
- All the site variables must be considered when designing the system. This includes cost of electricity



Thank You

Additional questions: <u>t.stewart@prismsolar.com</u> <u>p.hauser@prismsolar.com</u>

